

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,413	05/04/2004	Yu-Jie Zhao	46863	3412	
31561	7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CH	JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			YU, MELANIE J	
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVEL	NO. 100 T ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	00		1641		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/709,413	ZHAO, YU-JIE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Melanie Yu	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply			ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed of the communication (s) filed of the commu</li></ol>	This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal ma	• •	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application Papers  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the End on O4 May 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	withdrawn from consideration.  n and/or election requirement.  xaminer.  are: a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyage correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO	)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment filed 14 July 2005 has been entered. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are currently amended. Claims 1-9 are currently pending in this application. Claims 10-20 are cancelled.

## Withdrawn Rejections

Previous rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, 35 USC 102(b) and 35 USC 103(a) have been withdrawn in light of applicant's arguments and amendments.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blackburn (US 2003/0190608).

Blackburn teaches a method of fabricating a cell detection chip, comprising: selecting a plurality of probe molecules, wherein an affinity exists between each of the probe molecules and one of corresponding antigens on a cell membrane (different capture probes are specific for analyte, par. 150; analyte are antigens on cell membranes, par. 103); modifying the plurality of probe molecules to facility an immobilization of the probe molecules onto a matrix (par. 162); and spotting the probe molecules respectively onto respective positions of the matrix (par. 152-153).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okamoto et al. (US 2003/0059817) in view of Kapur et al. (US 6,548,263).

Art Unit: 1641

With respect to claims 1, 2 and 6, Okamoto et al. teach a method of fabricating a cell detection chip, comprising: designing a plurality of probe molecules, wherein an affinity exists between each of the probe molecules and one of corresponding specific molecules (par. 0056); synthesizing a plurality of probe molecules (par. 0046, 0056); spotting the probe molecules respectively on a matrix (par. 0056); and incubating the matrix to keep the matrix under a wet environment (support stood in a humid chamber for 30 minutes, par. 0056). Okamoto et al. fail to teach specific molecules being on a cell membrane.

Blackburn teaches a spotted array comprising probe molecules (col. 13, lines 50-67; col. 14, lines 53-55) wherein the corresponding specific molecule (analyte) is an antigen on a cell membrane (col. 15, lines 62-67), in order to provide a high throughput specific cell-type binding microarray.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of Okamoto et al., specific molecules on the surface of cell membranes as taught by Kapur et al., in order to provide high biological content screening for drug candidates by analysis of drug-cell interactions when a small number of cells and large volumes of compounds required for testing.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Okamoto et al. teach designing probe molecules comprising a plurality of location indication probes (par. 0118) and the step of synthesizing the probe molecules, further comprising the step of dissolving probe molecules in a solvent to form a solution of the probe molecules (probe molecules are mixed in a solution, par. 0056).

With respect to claim 9, Okamoto et al. teach a spot diameter between 20 and 100 μm (par. 0033), which encompasses the recited range of a spot radius between 50 and 500 μm.

Art Unit: 1641

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamoto et al. (US 2003/0059817) in view of Kapur et al. (US 6,548,263), as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Chen et al. (US 6,594,432).

Okamoto et al. in view of Kapur et al., as applied to claim 1, teach a method of fabricating a cell detection chip, but fail to teach the step of designing probe molecules further comprising designing a plurality of quality control probes.

Chen et al. teach using a plurality of quality control probes (col. 7, lines 10-22), in order to inspect microarrays after their formation.

Therefore it would have been obvious to on having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the designing step of the method of Okamoto et al. in view of Kapur et al., designing a plurality of quality control probes as taught by Chen et al., in order to determine if probes have been deposited.

5. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamoto et al. (US 2003/0059817) in view of Kapur et al. (US 6,548,263) further in view of Oprandy (US 5,200,312).

Okamoto et al. in view of Kapur et al., as applied to claims 1 and 6, teach a method of fabricating a cell detection chip and a step of cleaning after incubation (par. 0116), but fail to teach a step of drying after an incubation step and before cleaning.

Oprandy teaches a step of drying (col. 4, lines 11-19), in order to store an antibody bound membrane for later use.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the method of fabricating a chip after the step of incubation

Art Unit: 1641

and the step of cleaning of Okamoto et al. in view of Kapur et al., a step of drying as taught by Oprandy, in order to ensure the probe has completely bound to the matrix.

Page 5

With respect to claim 8, Okamoto et al. teach after the step of cleaning, steps of: blocking portions of a surface of the matrix not spotted with probes, wherein a blocking solution is used (immersed in bovine serum albumin to proceed blocking reaction, par. 0116); and further cleaning the matrix (matrix is washed after hybridization reaction, par. 0118).

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-7, filed 14 July 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-9 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and 35 USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a specific molecule present on a cell membrane.

#### Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,413

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. . . 12

Melanie Yu Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

> LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

> > 69/23/05